Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/939,743	LAGOON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dipakkumar Gandhi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Sol Sheinbein.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 1 December 2004	Time: <u>12:15 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 3 and claim 6.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	•	
DB ande:		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner recommended the following amendment to the application. Applicants' attorney Sol Sheinbein agreed. Claim 3: Line 1 of claim 3, "A method for providing" should be changed to -- A computer generated method for providing--.

Claim 6: Line 1 of claim 6, "A method for providing" should be changed to -- A computer generated method for providing--..